

***Amendments to the Drawings***

Please substitute formal drawing sheets for FIGs. 1-5, 6A, 6B, 6C, 7, 8, 9A, 9B, 10, 11, 12A, 12B, 13, 14A, 14B, 15, 16A, 16B, 17-21, 22A, 22B and 23, submitted herewith, for the pending informal drawing sheets for FIGS. 1-5, 6A, 6B, 6C, 7, 8, 9A, 9B, 10, 11, 12A, 12B, 13, 14A, 14B, 15, 16A, 16B, 17- 23.

***Remarks***

Reconsideration of this Application is respectfully requested.

Upon entry of the foregoing amendment, claims 1-22 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 8, 11, 12, 19 and 22 being the independent claims. Claims 1, 8, 9, 11, 12, 19, 20 and 22 have been amended. The specification has also been amended and replacement drawings have been provided. These changes are believed to introduce no new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested.

Based on the above amendment and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's indication that claims 1-10 and 12-21 are allowed.

***Objection to the Specification***

The Examiner has objected to the disclosure because U.S. Serial Nos. for the applications cited on page 2 of the specification had not been provided. Paragraphs [0008], [0009], [0010] and [0011] of the specification have been amended accordingly. In view of this, Applicants respectfully request that the objection to the specification be reconsidered and withdrawn.

***Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112***

The Examiner has rejected claims 11 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

With regard to claim 11, the Examiner found that the claim term "reconstructing said 54-byte RTP header" in lines 12-13 of the claim was ambiguous and that the claim term "said delta values" in line 13 of the claim lacked a proper antecedent basis. Claim 11 has been amended accordingly to address these issues.

With regard to claim 22, the Examiner found that the claim term "reconstruct said 54-byte RTP header" in lines 18-19 of the claim was ambiguous and that the claim term "said delta values" in line 19 of the claim lacked a proper antecedent basis. Claim 22 has been amended accordingly to address these issues.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claims 11 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, be reconsidered and withdrawn.

***Other Matters***

Claims 1, 8, 9, 12, 19 and 20 have been amended to make clear that the term "RTP" stands for "Real-time Transfer Protocol" and that the term "IP" stands for "Internet Protocol". These amendments introduce no new matter and their entry is respectfully requested.

Formal drawings have been prepared and are submitted herewith to replace the pending informal drawings. For the preparation of formal drawings, informal drawing

FIG. 22 was divided into two sheets of drawings, FIG. 22A and FIG. 22B.

Consequently, paragraphs [0048], [0240], [0246], [0248] and [0268] of the specification have been amended to account for the division of informal drawing FIG. 22 into formal drawing FIGs. 22A and 22B. These changes introduce no new matter and their entry is respectfully requested.


***Conclusion***

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn. Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment and Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Thomas C. Fiala  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 43,610

Date: 10/5/05

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934  
(202) 371-2600

418698v1